

COPY

005

1368 Clevenger Cove Road  
Hollister, MO 65672

November 15, 2017

James M. Smith  
Administrator and Counsel  
2190 S Mason Road, Suite 201  
St. Louis, MO 63131

RE: Complaints filed against Judge Eighmy, Taney County, Missouri

Dear Mr. Smith:

I believe I stated and raised the questions of several Violations of the Code of Judicial Conduct. It would also seem to me that any violations of law, Local, County, State, or Federal should be a Violation of Judicial Conduct. Basing judgments on knowingly false documents, would also seem to be a Violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct. However, in my original complaint I actually listed the violations I believe happened, directly related to your list of the Codes of Judicial Conduct.

I request that you reconsider the complaints I have filed. In the post trial hearings the judge requested documents from both legal counsel. At that time he knew the documents that were submitted to the court were false. That alone should have been enough to initiate a new trial. The judge had a chance at that time to do what was right. He chose not to. Most evidence I submitted to you were documents of evidence received by the court during trial and hearings. You could get additional evidence from the OCDC, where they have accepted my complaint against my attorney, Dan Brogdon, where he admits that he submitted false documents to the court.

Is it possible that you would advise me if the allegations I have made are not being considered because I have not provided you with enough evidence and/or documentation? Or, do you believe the allegations, but they do not violate the Code of Judicial Conduct. I am not a lawyer. So if you need additional information, I believe you should give me the opportunity to provide it.

I also request to know how you reached your decision.

If any investigation was actually done, it would be determined that my allegations were truthful. Furthermore, taking the actions of the attorneys and judge in my case seems to indicate the current accepted behavior going on in our courts and judicial system. I believed your arm of the system was to monitor and prevent this behavior. I am convinced the handling of my case from lawyers to judge to conclusion was not unique. Just wrong.

In closing, I have again submitted some of the Violations of the Code of Judicial Conduct I believe were violated.

If nothing else, please review the documents, evidence, and testimony of the Post Trial Hearings. And the first hearing in July 2015. It was evident in the post trial hearings that my attorney presented false documents. He provided no representation. The judge knew the documents he was using were false and continued to base his settlement figures on those false documents. He knew if he determined the

documents were false, he should have granted me a new trial, or at least used the corrected documents in rendering his decisions.

I believe the most egregious actions of the judge against me was:

1. In July 2015, at the first hearing before him, he ordered me out of my home and out of the state of Missouri. There was no hearing. He met in chambers with my attorney, Dan Brogdon, and my husband's attorney, Rudy Arambulo. In closed quarters, without my benefit of testimony or hearing what was being discussed, I was removed from my home. I was given 10 days to get out. He also stated that I was not to remove anything from my home except a few pieces of clothing and necessary toiletries. It forced me to be homeless, and having to buy or borrow all necessary items to survive.
2. During post trial motions and hearings it came out that my attorney, Dan Brogdon, had submitted false and/or fraudulent documents and figures. My husband's attorneys used these false documents to prepare the form 9 and submit it to the court. The judge knew when he made the final disbursement of assets that the documents he was basing his settlement on was fraudulent. He told me to sue my attorney.
3. I testified several times during the trial and hearings that the figures and assets on the paper was not my figures or designation of asset requests.
4. If he was to declare the documents false or fraudulent, he would have been compelled to allow me a new trial or at least use the corrected documents in rendering his judgment. He refused to allow a new trial or to use the corrected documents he received during the post trial hearings and motions to correct the settlement. He continued to knowingly base his settlement on what he knew was false documents and figures.
5. He lied in the docket entries. There was no hearing about my home in July 2015 hearing.

Reading the docket entries will not reflect what actually happened. I can provide you with the audio recordings. I have filed complaints against my attorney, Dan Brogdon, with the OCDC. During his investigation he admitted what he had done. But the judge already knew what happened as a result of the post trial hearings.

A review of the audio recordings and the evidence and documents presented during the hearings of Dan Brogdon would substantiate these allegations.

I feel compelled to pursue this.

Respectfully Submitted,

Barbara Figaro